

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background and Purpose	1-1
1.2 Approach to Evaluation	1-1
1.2.1 Biological Criteria	1-2
1.2.2 Planning Criteria	1-3
1.2.3 Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria	1-3
1.2.4 Other Resource Impacts Criteria	1-3
1.2.5 Other Important Stressors and Conservation Elements	1-3
1.3 Descriptions of Conservation Strategy Options	1-4
1.3.1 Conservation Strategy Option 1: Existing Through-Delta Conveyance.....	1-4
1.3.2 Conservation Strategy Option 2: Improved Through-Delta Conveyance.....	1-6
1.3.3 Conservation Strategy Option 3: Dual Conveyance	1-8
1.3.4 Conservation Strategy Option 4: Peripheral Aqueduct.....	1-9
1.4 Base Conditions.....	1-10
1.5 Evaluation Criteria.....	1-11
1.6 Report Organization	1-12
2.0 METHODS	2-1
2.1 Covered Fish Species Stressors	2-1
2.2 Hydrologic/Hydrodynamic Modeling	2-25
2.2.1 Analytical Process and Modeling Approach	2-25
2.2.2 Base Study Assumptions	2-26
2.2.3 Options Assumptions.....	2-26
2.3 Evaluation of the Biological Criteria	2-36
2.4 Evaluation of the Planning Criteria, Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability, and Other Resource Impacts Criteria	2-57
3.0 CONSERVATION STRATEGY OPTION 1 EVALUATION	3-1
3.1 Biological Criteria	3-1
3.1.1 Delta Smelt.....	3-2
3.1.2 Longfin Smelt	3-12
3.1.3 Sacramento River Salmonids.....	3-23
3.1.4 San Joaquin River Salmonids	3-30
3.1.5 Green and White Sturgeon	3-35
3.1.6 Splittail.....	3-41
3.2 Planning Criteria	3-50
3.3 Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria	3-54
3.4 Other Resources Impacts Criteria	3-56
4.0 CONSERVATION STRATEGY OPTION 2 EVALUATION	4-1
4.1 Biological Criteria	4-1
4.1.1 Delta Smelt.....	4-2

	4.1.2	Longfin Smelt	4-9
	4.1.3	Sacramento River Salmonids.....	4-17
	4.1.4	San Joaquin River Salmonids	4-23
	4.1.5	Green and White Sturgeon	4-28
	4.1.6	Splittail.....	4-34
	4.2	Planning Criteria	4-40
	4.3	Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria	4-46
	4.4	Other Resources Impacts Criteria	4-49
5.0		CONSERVATION STRATEGY OPTION 3 EVALUATION	5-1
	5.1	Biological Criteria	5-1
	5.1.1	Delta Smelt.....	5-2
	5.1.2	Longfin Smelt	5-9
	5.1.3	Sacramento River Salmonids.....	5-17
	5.1.4	San Joaquin River Salmonids	5-22
	5.1.5	Green and White Sturgeon	5-27
	5.1.6	Splittail.....	5-32
	5.2	Planning Criteria	5-38
	5.3	Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria	5-43
	5.4	Other Resources Impacts Criteria	5-45
6.0		CONSERVATION STRATEGY OPTION 4 EVALUATION	6-1
	6.1	Biological Criteria	6-1
	6.1.1	Delta Smelt.....	6-1
	6.1.2	Longfin Smelt	6-8
	6.1.3	Sacramento River Salmonids.....	6-16
	6.1.4	San Joaquin River Salmonids	6-21
	6.1.5	Sturgeon	6-26
	6.1.6	Splittail.....	6-31
	6.2	Planning Criteria	6-36
	6.3	Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria	6-42
	6.4	Other Resources Impacts Criteria	6-44
7.0		COMPARISON OF THE OPTIONS	7-1
	7.1	Comparison of the Options Relative to Biological Criteria.....	7-3
	7.1.1	Delta Smelt.....	7-3
	7.1.2	Longfin Smelt	7-5
	7.1.3	Sacramento River Salmonids.....	7-7
	7.1.4	San Joaquin River Salmonids	7-9
	7.1.5	Green and White Sturgeon	7-12
	7.1.6	Sacramento Splittail.....	7-15
	7.2	Comparison of the Options Relative to the Planning Criteria.....	7-16
	7.3	Comparison of the Options Relative to Flexibility/ Durability/ Sustainability Criteria.....	7-21
	7.4	Comparison of the Options Relative to Other Resource Impacts Criteria.....	7-26
	7.5	Conclusions-Overall Comparison of the Options	7-31
	7.5.1	Biological Criteria	7-31

7.5.2	Planning Criteria	7-31
7.5.3	Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria	7-31
7.5.4	Other Resource Impacts Criteria	7-32
7.5.5	Overall Conclusions	7-32
8.0	OPPORTUNITIES FOR CONSERVATION ELEMENTS AVAILABLE UNDER ALL OPTIONS	8-1
9.0	REFERENCES	9-1

List of Tables

E-1.	Comparison of Options by Covered Fish Species	E-12
E-2.	Comparison of Options by Planning, Feasibility/Durability/ Sustainability, and Other Resource Impacts Criteria	E-13
E-3.	Overall Comparison of Options by Criteria Category (Rank)	E-13
2-1.	Assumed Performance of Option 2 with a Pump Facility at the Siphon for Important CALSIMII and DSM2 Parameters Relative to the Base Condition and the Options 1-4	2-35
2-2.	Metrics, Tools, and Scales for Biological Criteria	2-37
2-3.	Important Assumptions used to Evaluate the Biological Criteria	2-53
2-4.	Metrics, Tools, and Scales for Evaluation of Planning Criteria	2-58
2-5.	Metrics, Tools, and Scales for Evaluation of Feasibility/ Durability/Sustainability Criteria	2-60
2-6.	Metrics, Tools, and Scales for Evaluation of Impacts on Other Resource Impacts Criteria	2-62
3-1.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Delta Smelt Stressors	3-2
3-2.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Longfin Smelt Stressors	3-12
3-3.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Stressors	3-24
3-4.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Steelhead Stressors	3-24
3-5.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors	3-31
3-6.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Stressors	3-31
3-7.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Green Sturgeon Stressors	3-36
3-8.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important White Sturgeon Stressors	3-36
3-9.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Splittail Stressors	3-42
4-1.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important Delta Smelt Stressors	4-3
4-2.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Longfin Smelt Stressors	4-10

4-3.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Stressors	4-18
4-4.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Steelhead Stressors.....	4-18
4-5.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors.....	4-23
4-5.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors (continued)	4-24
4-6.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Stressors	4-24
4-7.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important Green Sturgeon Stressors	4-29
4-8.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important White Sturgeon Stressors	4-29
4-9.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 2 on Highly and Moderately Important Splittail Stressors	4-34
4-1.	Option 2 Capital Cost Range for Infrastructure Improvements (Millions of 2007 Dollars).....	4-44
4-2.	Option 2 Capital Cost Range for Infrastructure Improvements, Including Delta Cross Channel/Georgiana Slough Fish Screens and Relocation of CCWD Intakes (Millions of 2007 Dollars)	4-44
5-1.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important Delta Smelt Stressors	5-2
5-2.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important Longfin Smelt Stressors.....	5-9
5-3.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Stressors	5-18
5-4.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Steelhead Stressors.....	5-18
5-5.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors.....	5-22
5-6.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Stressors	5-23
5-7.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Green Sturgeon Stressors	5-28
5-8.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important White Sturgeon Stressors	5-28
5-9.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 3 on Highly and Moderately Important Splittail Stressors	5-33
5-1.	Expected Infrastructure Costs for Various Configurations of Option 3 (Millions 2007 dollars)	5-41
5-2.	Expected Infrastructure Costs for Various Configurations of Option 3, with Delta Cross Channel/Georgiana Slough Screening and CCWD Intake Costs (Millions 2007 dollars)	5-41
6-1.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important Delta Smelt Stressors	6-2

6-2.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 1 on Highly and Moderately Important Longfin Smelt Stressors.....	6-9
6-3.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Stressors.....	6-17
6-4.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important Sacramento River Steelhead Stressors.....	6-17
6-5.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors.....	6-21
6-5.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors (continued).....	6-22
6-6.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Stressors.....	6-22
6-7.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important Green Sturgeon Stressors.....	6-27
6-8.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important White Sturgeon Stressors.....	6-27
6-9.	Summary of Expected Effects of Option 4 on Highly and Moderately Important Splittail Stressors.....	6-31
6-1.	Summary of DRMS Phase II Peripheral Aqueduct Cost Estimates by Canal Capacity.....	6-38
6-2.	15,000 cfs Peripheral Aqueduct Cost Breakdown (millions of 2007 dollars).....	6-39
6-3.	Option 4 Delta Infrastructure Capital Cost Range by Peripheral Aqueduct Capacity.....	6-40
7-1.	Comparison of Options by Covered Fish Species.....	7-2
7-2.	Comparison of Options by Planning, Feasibility/Durability /Sustainability, and Other Resource Impacts Criteria.....	7-2
7-3.	Overall Comparison of Options by Criteria Category (Rank) ¹	7-3
7-4.	Summary of Option Effects on Important Delta Smelt Stressors.....	7-4
7-5.	Summary of Option Effects on Important Longfin Smelt Stressors.....	7-6
7-6.	Summary of Option Effects on Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Stressors.....	7-8
7-7.	Summary of Option Effects on Important Sacramento River Steelhead Stressors.....	7-9
7-8.	Summary of Option Effects on Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Stressors.....	7-10
7-9.	Summary of Option Effects on Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Stressors.....	7-11
7-10.	Summary of Option Effects on Important Green Sturgeon Stressors.....	7-13
7-11.	Summary of Option Effects on Important White Sturgeon Stressors.....	7-14
7-12.	Summary of Option Effects on Important Sacramento Splittail Stressors.....	7-15
7-13.	Comparison of the Performance of the Options Relative to the Planning Criteria Metrics.....	7-16
7-14.	Comparison of the Performance of the Options Relative to Flexibility/Durability/Sustainability Criteria Metric.....	7-21
7-15.	Comparison of the Performance of the Options Relative to Other Resource Criteria Metrics.....	7-26

8-1. Conservation Elements Available under All Options that would Address Covered Fish Species Stressors in the BDCP Planning Area8-2

8-2. Stressors and Impact Mechanisms that Affect Covered Species Outside of the Planning Area8-4

List of Figures

E-1. Locator Map Of Planning Area With Key Features Mentioned In Text E-3

E-2. Conservation Strategy Option 1..... E-4

E-3. Conservation Strategy Option 2..... E-7

E-4. Conservation Strategy Option 3..... E-8

E-5. Conservation Strategy Option 4..... E-9

2-1a. Highly Important Delta Smelt Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-3

2-1b. Moderately Important Delta Smelt Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-4

2-2a. Highly Important Longfin Smelt Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-5

2-2b. Highly Important Longfin Smelt Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-6

2-2c. Moderately Important Longfin Smelt Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-7

2-3a. Highly Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-8

2-3b. Moderately Important Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-9

2-4a. Highly Important Sacramento River Steelhead Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-10

2-4b. Moderately Important Sacramento River Steelhead Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-11

2-5a. Highly Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-12

2-5b. Highly Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-13

2-5c. Moderately Important San Joaquin River Chinook Salmon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-14

2-6a. Highly Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-15

2-6b. Highly Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-16

2-6c. Moderately Important San Joaquin River Steelhead Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-17

2-7a. Highly Important Green Sturgeon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-18

2-7b. Moderately Important Green Sturgeon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects2-19

2-8a.	Highly Important White Sturgeon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects	2-20
2-8b.	Moderately Important White Sturgeon Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects	2-21
2-9a.	Highly Important Sacramento Splittail Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects	2-22
2-9b.	Moderately Important Sacramento Splittail Impact Mechanisms, Stressors, and Effects	2-23
2-10.	Analytical Process and Modeling Approach	2-27
2-11.	Dsm2 - Particle Tracking Model Particle Insertion Points	2-29
3-1.	Export Reliability (Exceedance Probability) Curves for Base Conditions and the Four Options.....	3-51
3-2.	Export Water Quality Under Base Conditions and the Four Options.....	3-51
3-3.	Predicted Sacramento River Water Quality at Emmaton (Sherman Island) Expressed as Electrical Conductivity (Ec) for Each of the Options and Current Conditions.	3-59
3-4.	Predicted San Joaquin River Water Quality at the State Highway 4 Crossing of Old River Expressed as Electrical Conductivity (Ec) for Each of the Options and Current Conditions.	3-59

Appendices

Appendix A.	Description of Hydrodynamic Analytical Tools and Summary of Modeling Results
Appendix B.	Input Assumptions and Flow Parameter Values Used In CALSIM II and DMS2 Modeling
Appendix C.	Stressors, Stressor Effects, and Impact Mechanisms for Delta Smelt
Appendix D.	Option 1 Hydrologic/Hydrodynamic Model Results
Appendix E.	Option 2 Hydrologic/Hydrodynamic Model Results
Appendix F.	Option 4 Hydrologic/Hydrodynamic Model Results
Appendix G.	Option 4 Hydrologic/Hydrodynamic Model Results
Appendix H.	Comparison of Options by Biological Criterion

This page intentionally left blank.